Climate change increases risk of extreme rainfall following wildfire in the western United States
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Post-wildfire extreme rainfall events can have destructive impacts in the western United States. Using two climate model large ensembles, we assess the future risk of extreme fire weather events being followed by extreme rainfall in this region. By mid-21st century, in a high warming scenario (RCP8.5), we report large increases in the number of extreme fire weather events followed within 1 year by at least one extreme rainfall event. By 2100, the frequency of these compound events increases by 100% in California and 700% in the Pacific Northwest in the Community Earth System Model v1 Large Ensemble. We further project that more than 90% of extreme fire weather events in California, Colorado, and the Pacific Northwest will be followed by at least three spatially collocated extreme rainfall events within five years. Our results point to a future with substantially increased post-fire hydrologic risks across much of the western United States.

INTRODUCTION

Recently burned areas have an elevated risk of debris flows, mudslides, and flash floods during rain events due to wildfire-induced changes in soil properties, vegetation loss, and ground cover (1–5). These events are often sudden and difficult to predict—and can sometimes be deadly and destructive in populated areas. Hydrologically relevant effects within fire footprints can linger for up to a decade—incuring the risk of debris flows for up to 3 to 5 years following fire as the ground cover and fine roots recover, and the risk of flash floods for up to 5 to 8 years following fire as the soil returns to normal absorbance and vegetation regrowth (2). Meanwhile, more severe regional impacts can occur when extreme rainfall occurs within 1 or 2 years after a wildfire (1, 6), compounding the consequences of each and resulting in a higher impact event, especially if recovery efforts from the previous wildfire are still ongoing (7, 8).

The western United States is particularly vulnerable to the combined effects of wildfire and extreme rainfall, experiencing both hazards on a consistent basis. As a recent case, debris flows in Glenwood Canyon, Colorado in July 2021 occurred following heavy rainfall over the areas burned by the Grizzly Creek Fire in the previous year. These debris flows led to severe damages to infrastructure and roadways, shutting down a vital east-to-west connector across the Rocky Mountains. Post-fire rainfall events within shorter time frames have also had deadly consequences: In January 2018, Montecito in southern California experienced debris flows after a short but intense storm occurring over the footprint of the December 2017 Thomas Fire, leading to 23 fatalities and $200 million in property damage (3). Farther north, in Okanogan County, Washington, a severe summer storm in 2014 caused mudslides and flash floods within the 1-month-old Carlton Complex Fire footprint, destroying homes and blocking major highways (4). Understanding the risk of these linked events in a changing climate is therefore crucial for informed emergency management and community adaptation.

Previous research suggests that extreme wildfires are likely to increase in both frequency and intensity in the western United States in response to anthropogenic climate change. Fire intensity and area burned are expected to increase under expanding and intensifying drought conditions (9, 10), which favor the development of extreme fire weather conditions (11–13), continued fire suppression and exclusion (14, 15), and population increases in the wildland-urban interface (16, 17) in the 21st century (18). In addition, extreme fire weather conditions are expected to occur over a longer fire season in many regions (11), resulting in a shorter gap between the fire season and the wet season in places such as California (19). By mid-21st century, a “new normal” of heightened fire weather conditions are expected under projected warming (12, 20).

Simultaneously, significant increases in the magnitude and frequency of extreme precipitation are projected for the early 21st century in much of the western United States (21), and by mid-century, the frequency and rainfall volume of mid-sized heavy precipitation events are expected to increase by more than 30% (22). These effects are particularly pronounced in California: During the most extreme atmospheric river-driven events, California is projected to receive ~25% more precipitation—with maximum precipitation rates during these events potentially increasing by 50% or more in some areas (23), along with an increasing fraction of precipitation falling as rain rather than snow (24). Extreme wet and dry years in California are also expected to alternate more rapidly in a warming climate, likely increasing the odds of extreme precipitation events occurring within 1 or 2 years after a wildfire (25), and the severity of same-year sequential burned area-extreme precipitation events is expected to increase (26).

Since robust increases are expected for both wildfire and extreme rainfall events individually, the risk of these events occurring close together in time would also be expected to increase. However, to date, it has been difficult to quantify the impact of both anthropogenic climate change and climate variability on compounding extremes.
such as these due to their rarity in both the relatively short observational record and in climate model simulations with small ensemble sizes (27). To quantify these limitations, we compare two initial-condition large ensemble simulations [Community Earth System Model v1 Large Ensemble (CESM1-LE) and Canadian Earth System Model v2 (CanESM2)] (28) to assess the changes in the frequency and likelihood of temporally compounding extreme fire weather and extreme rainfall events over the 21st century in the western United States. Because of their size (40 and 50 members each, respectively), these global large ensemble simulations raise the prospect of providing more robust statistics regarding rare events in a warming climate and allow investigation of changes to high-frequency (daily to annual) events on decadal to multidecadal time scales. The bulk of our analysis is focused on CESM1-LE (29), which has sufficient fire weather and rainfall data available and has substantially higher spatial resolution than CanESM2. We assess changes in events that are temporally compounding on subannual to multiannual time scales, capturing a range of hydrologic risk and impacts.

**RESULTS**

**Future increasing frequency of extreme fire weather events**

To capture daily meteorological conditions that shape wildfire risk, we use a modified version of the Canadian Forest Fire Weather Index (FWI), calculated using daily maximum temperature, precipitation, relative humidity, and surface wind speed (30–32). We calculate FWI for CESM1-LE and CanESM2 ensemble simulations from the Multi-Model Large Ensemble Archive (MMLEA) (28), which has sufficient data to calculate FWI (see Materials and Methods and table S1). At each grid cell, the 99.9th percentile of FWI, calculated using the 1980–2005 daily distribution of the pooled ensemble members of each large ensemble experiment, is used to identify extreme fire weather events (FWI$_{99.9}$), capturing days during which ignited fires are likely to spread. While FWI does not account for vegetation characteristics or ignitions, extreme levels of FWI have been shown to correspond strongly with large burned areas over the western United States in observations (11, 20, 33) and have been reliably estimated using climate model simulations [e.g., (12)].

We quantify projected future changes in FWI$_{99.9}$ frequency under the Representative Concentration Pathway (RCP8.5) scenario over the course of the 21st century and find more than a twofold increase in the Pacific Northwest (PNW) and eastern Colorado in both CESM1-LE (Figs. 1, A to C, and 3A) and CanESM2 (Figs. S1 and S2A). In addition, CESM1-LE shows large and significant ($P < 0.05$) increases over most of California, with FWI$_{99.9}$ becoming at least 100% more frequent by 2040 and 200% more frequent by 2100 (Fig. 1, A to C). However, CanESM2 shows small or insignificant changes in FWI$_{99.9}$ frequency over this same region (Figs. 1 and 3A versus figs. S1 and S2A). We note that the magnitude of historical (pre-2005) FWI$_{99.9}$ annual frequency is larger in CESM1-LE ($\sim 2$ per $10^5$ km$^2$) than in CanESM2 ($\sim 0.5$ per $10^5$ km$^2$) for all regions (Fig. 3A and fig. S2A). This may be due to the number of grid points per the area considered (FWI$_{99.9}$ events are considered on the grid-point level), as well as the sequencing of days that exceed the 99.9th percentile of FWI (consecutive days are considered as one FWI$_{99.9}$ event).

The differences in projections of FWI$_{99.9}$ events between CESM1-LE and CanESM2 may be attributed to their disagreement on the magnitude of temperature change under RCP8.5, previously documented over this region (34). Given that warming temperatures are a prominent driver of increases in extreme fire weather frequency in future projections, the uncertainty in projected warming is likely contributing to the uncertainty in extreme fire weather projections (12, 20). Moreover, a considerable portion of this uncertainty may stem from the large differences in the spatial resolution between CanESM2 ($\sim 2.8^\circ \times 2.8^\circ$) and CESM1-LE ($\sim 1.3^\circ \times 0.9^\circ$), and hence the representation of topography critical to the representation of fire weather variables such as wind speed and relative humidity at the surface [e.g., (33)]. Nonetheless, it is difficult to further understand the role of model uncertainty in the discrepancies in projections of FWI$_{99.9}$ frequency under climate change and climate variability without additional large ensemble simulations with FWI data availability, especially ensembles with spatial resolution similar to or finer than that of CESM1.

The higher resolution of CESM1-LE, and therefore greater number of total grid points over the western United States, allows us to discern geographical heterogeneity in the projected response. We find that inland regions of California and PNW show robust (here defined as greater than two-thirds agreement across the ensemble members) and significant increases in FWI$_{99.9}$ frequency during the early 21st century, while the immediate coastal areas show little or no robust increases by the end of the 21st century (Fig. 1, A to C). The lack of changes in FWI$_{99.9}$ over these regions may be due to the poorly resolved coastal topography relevant to fire-fueling katabatic winds (35).

**Future increasing frequency of extreme rainfall events**

Next, we consider changes to extreme rainfall frequency over the 21st century under RCP8.5 for CESM1-LE and CanESM2, and three additional large ensemble simulations that have sufficient daily precipitation data [CSIRO-Mk3.6, EC-Earth, and Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory’s Coupled Model version 3 (GFDL-CM3); table S1]. Similar to our definition of extreme fire weather, we use exceedances above the 99.9th percentile of daily rainfall to identify extreme rainfall events (R$_{99.9}$), which has been shown to often yield high-impact events in the observational record [e.g., (36)].

In the early part of the 21st century, robust increases in R$_{99.9}$ frequency over a diagonal axis extending from the eastern PNW to western Colorado are seen for all models, consistent with recent studies [e.g., (37, 38)]. On the other hand, many models show that there are smaller or nonrobust increases in the coastal regions of California and PNW, as well as east of the Rocky Mountains (Figs. 1D and 3B and figs. S2B, S3, and S4). The lack of changes may be due to the insufficient complexity in the representation of topography in these relatively low-resolution models that are needed to simulate the precipitation amounts observed during extreme precipitation events [e.g., (39)]. In the mid-21st century (2041–2070), more regions begin to show significant increases in R$_{99.9}$ frequency in the large ensemble simulations, and by 2100, most of the study domain shows robust increases in R$_{99.9}$ frequency across the simulations (Fig. 1, D to F, 2, and 3B, and figs. S3 and S4). We note that there are differences between models in the absolute R$_{99.9}$ frequency (Fig. 3B and fig. S4). This is likely attributable due to uncertainties in changes to atmospheric circulation over the western United States among the models, as well as differences in their temperature projections, which could drive further uncertainties regarding the magnitude of the thermodynamic response of rainfall (37, 38, 40).

**Temporally compounding events occurring within 1 year**

Given that the spatial patterns and timing of changes under RCP8.5 differ substantially between the frequencies of FWI$_{99.9}$ and R$_{99.9}$
events, individually, it is key that we explore the changes in the sequencing of these extreme events. We quantify the changes in the frequency and fraction of FWI_{99.9} events that are followed by R_{99.9} events on “subannual” (3 months, 6 months, and 1 year) time frames for the CESM1-LE and CanESM2 ensembles. The compounding impacts of these events on short time scales pose elevated risks of flash floods and debris flows (2, 7, 41).

Between 1980 and 2005, approximately one-third of FWI_{99.9} events are followed by R_{99.9} events within the first year over the western United States in the CESM1-LE and CanESM2 ensembles, which is approximately ~1 annual event per 10^7 km^2 for CESM1-LE (Fig. 3, C and E, and figs. S5, E and F, and S6I). Under the RCP8.5 future warming scenario, we find robust increases in the fraction and number of FWI_{99.9} events that are followed by R_{99.9} events, as well as the number of R_{99.9} events that follow FWI_{99.9} events within subannual time frames. In CESM1-LE, western Colorado and most of the PNW see significant (P < 0.05) increases in the fraction and number of FWI_{99.9} events followed by R_{99.9} events within 3 months,
from ~0% and almost none per year in 1980 to 40% and 0.3 per year in 2100 (Figs. 4, A to C, and 5, A to C, and fig. S5, A and B). Increases in the frequency and fraction of FWI<sub>99.9</sub> events followed by R<sub>99.9</sub> events over the PNW are also present in CanESM2, showing a robust response to RCP8.5 forcing among the two models (figs. S6, A to D, and S7, A to D). However, while CESM1-LE shows the presence and increase in FWI<sub>99.9</sub> events followed by R<sub>99.9</sub> events within 3 months over Idaho, Utah, northern Nevada, and western Colorado throughout the 21st century, CanESM2 does not (Figs. 4, A to C, and 5, A to C, and figs. S5, A and B, S6, A to D, and S7, A to D), which could be partly attributed to the lack of significant changes in extreme fire weather events over these regions in CanESM2 (fig. S1, D to F). This highlights that projections of compound events occurring within a relatively small time frame, i.e., 3 months, could be relatively more sensitive to projections of extreme events individually.

Significant increases in the fraction and number of FWI<sub>99.9</sub> events followed by R<sub>99.9</sub> events within 6 months or 1 year are more widespread and emerge earlier. By the end of the century, more than 50% of FWI<sub>99.9</sub> events are followed by R<sub>99.9</sub> in parts of the PNW, Nevada, Idaho, and Utah in both CESM1-LE and CanESM2 (Fig. 4, D to I, and fig. S6, E to L). Over the PNW, this equates to ~10 annual events per 10<sup>5</sup> km<sup>2</sup> (Fig. 3C) in CESM1-LE. While relatively modest compared to the PNW, California and Colorado also see significant (P < 0.05) increases in the fraction and number of FWI<sub>99.9</sub> events followed by R<sub>99.9</sub> within 6 months and 1 year (Figs. 3, C to E, 4, F and I, and 5, F and I, and figs. S6, H and L, and S7, H and L). In CESM1-LE, these increases are especially notable in western Colorado and northern California, where two-thirds of FWI<sub>99.9</sub> events are projected to experience an R<sub>99.9</sub> event within 1 year at the end of the 21st century, representing a twofold or more increase from the historic period.

These increases in FWI<sub>99.9</sub> events followed by R<sub>99.9</sub> events over northern California are also large and robust in the CanESM2 simulations, despite showing small or statistically insignificant changes in extreme fire weather frequency, alone (fig. S1 versus fig. S6). In this case, increases in extreme rainfall events could largely be driving the increases in FWI<sub>99.9</sub> events followed by R<sub>99.9</sub> events, with more extreme rainfall events occurring in locations where they are historically relatively rare. In addition, shifts in the seasonality of extreme fire weather events, not evident through changes in annual frequency alone, could also be driving increases in subannual compound events.
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However, this is not the case in southern California for CanESM2, where strong increases in R\textsubscript{99.9} events (fig. S3, D to F) are insufficient in producing significant increases in the number or fraction of FWI\textsubscript{99.9} events followed by R\textsubscript{99.9} events within 6 months or 1 year (figs. S6, E to L, and S7, E to L). In this region, the discrepancies in the projections of FWI\textsubscript{99.9} frequency between CESM1-LE and CanESM2 alone are reflected in changes in compound events, where CESM1-LE shows statistically significant increases in 6-month and 1-year compounding events, while CanESM2 does not (Figs. 4, D to I, and 5, D to I, versus figs. S6, E to L, and S7, E to L). In this case, additional understanding of the discrepancies among the model projections of individual events could shed more light on the uncertainty of compound event projections. We again emphasize, however, that it is plausible that CanESM2 is simply not capable of representing the highly topography-dependent physical processes that drive extreme FWI\textsubscript{99.9} events (i.e., Santa Ana wind and low-humidity events) in this region due to its much coarser spatial resolution compared to CESM1.

We further find that, at a subregional level of spatial aggregation, increases in the frequency of compound extreme fire weather-rainfall events are the product of increases in both FWI\textsubscript{99.9} and R\textsubscript{99.9} frequency. In CESM1-LE, the mean and upper tails of the individual distributions of FWI\textsubscript{99.9} and R\textsubscript{99.9} frequency are clearly distinguishable during each study period (see vertical and horizontal probability density plots in Fig. 2, A to C). This suggests that even in cases where the compound event signal in individual grid boxes may be dominated by a particular constituent (e.g., primarily by increases in R\textsubscript{99.9} or by increases in FWI\textsubscript{99.9}), both processes are driving changes in compound events on broader spatial scales.

**Role of changes in the seasonality of extreme events**

To understand the individual contribution of changes in FWI\textsubscript{99.9} and R\textsubscript{99.9} to changes in the risk of temporal compounding, we explore the underlying seasonality of changes in FWI\textsubscript{99.9} and R\textsubscript{99.9} frequency that drive the increases in 3-month, 6-month, and 1-year compounding events in CESM1-LE. In all regions, increases in FWI\textsubscript{99.9} events occur during the typical fire weather season (May to September; Fig. 6, A, C, and E). Thus, increases in R\textsubscript{99.9} events occurring during and earlier than the typical rainy season shape the
Increases in subannual compounding events in different regions. Increases in $R_{99.9}$ events in the early fall in PNW and Colorado lead to a rise in 3-month compounding events, with ~0.25 more annual events per $10^5\text{km}^2$ in October in Colorado and 2 more in the PNW (Fig. 6, C to F). Large increases in the annual number of 6-month compounding events in 2100 are driven by increases in $R_{99.9}$ events in the early winter, with up to ~1 and ~4 more per $10^5\text{km}^2$ in PNW and California, respectively (Fig. 6, A and B). In the spring, increases in $R_{99.9}$ events largely yield increases in 1-year compounding events, although in Colorado some of these increases in late spring overlap with increases in early summer FWI$_{99.9}$ events, leading to small increases in 3-month compounding events (Fig. 6). These results provide insight into the subannual timing and seasonality of compounding events, allowing more informed mitigation of potential impacts.

**Temporally compounding events occurring after more than 1 year**

While areas burned in a wildfire can start to recover some of their pre-fire conditions after 1 year, post-fire effects on vegetation, soil absorbency, and ground cover can remain up to 8 years after a fire (2). During this time, a burned area will typically experience enhanced risks of flash floods and debris flows (41). To capture projected risks of such “multiannual” compound events, we quantify changes in $R_{99.9}$ events occurring within 5 years after an FWI$_{99.9}$ event.

Among the three regions analyzed in this study, the largest increases in multiannual compound events occur in the PNW in both CESM1-LE and CanESM2. In this region, we find more than a threefold increase in the number of FWI$_{99.9}$ events that are followed by $R_{99.9}$ events within 5 years by the end of the 21st century (Fig. 7G), which equates to almost 100% of all extreme fire weather events (Fig. 7H and figs. S6T and S8H). In CESM1-LE, the number of $R_{99.9}$ events that follow each FWI$_{99.9}$ event, on average, also triples, to up to 7.5 $R_{99.9}$ events per FWI$_{99.9}$ event (Fig. 7I). By the end of the 21st century, our findings suggest that almost every FWI$_{99.9}$ event in PNW will be followed by multiple occurrences of $R_{99.9}$ events, and very few extreme fire weather events will occur in the absence of a subsequent extreme rainfall event within a 5-year time frame.

Although smaller in magnitude than the very large increases across the PNW, California and Colorado also see statistically significant increases in multiannual compounding events throughout the 21st century in both CESM1-LE and CanESM2. In Colorado, the fraction and number of FWI$_{99.9}$ events followed by $R_{99.9}$ events,
and the number of compounding R_{99.9} events, within 5-year time frames increase substantially until 2070. We note, however, that increases in Colorado weaken throughout the rest of the 21st century in CESM1-LE, whereas the risk of multiannual compounding events continues to increase in California and PNW. Given that the annual frequency of both extreme rainfall and fire weather events continues to increase during this time period in Colorado (Figs. 2B and 3, A and B), this suggests that there may also be changes in the interannual variability of extreme fire weather conditions and extreme rainfall by the end of the 21st century, leading to smaller changes in the risk of multiannual compounding events.

**DISCUSSION**

In this analysis, we show that future climate change will substantially amplify the likelihood of temporally compounding extreme fire weather and extreme rainfall events on subannual and multiannual time scales across most of the western United States. Using two single-model large ensemble simulations, we find robust increases in the likelihood and frequency of compounding events across multiple spatial regions (California, PNW, and Colorado) and multiple time scales (both subannual and multiannual events) under the RCP8.5 scenario. In the PNW, these increases are especially notable: Most (~90%) of the future FWI_{99.9} events will be followed by an R_{99.9} event within 6 months, and 25% of FWI_{99.9} events will be followed by an R_{99.9} event within 3 years. Over a 5-year time frame, almost all FWI_{99.9} events will be followed at least one R_{99.9} event. Not only that, but the number of R_{99.9} events following FWI_{99.9} increases, reaching an average of seven spatially colocated R_{99.9} events per each FWI_{99.9} event. We also emphasize that both large ensembles analyzed for compound events (CESM1-LE and CanESM2) agree that there will be relatively broad regional increases in the frequency of these temporally compounding events, especially in the PNW. We note that robust and significant increases in the frequency of these compound events emerge in many locations by mid-21st century under RCP8.5, with relatively lower levels of warming compared to the end of century. Therefore, we expect that robust and significant increases in extreme fire weather events followed by extreme rainfall events would also occur under low or intermediate emission pathways (e.g., RCP6.0 or RCP4.5).

This work builds on previous studies, which have projected an increase in the joint annual risk of burned area and extreme precipitation (26), as well as more frequent dry-to-wet year “precipitation whiplash” events in California (25). We make two important distinctions in our study. First, we consider the sequencing of extreme rainfall events occurring after extreme fire weather events, and second, we assess post-fire rainfall compound events on both subannual and multiannual time frames. Similar to (26), we find large increases in compound extreme fire/rainfall events in northern California; however, our analysis also shows robust increases in these compound events over southern California in both CESM1-LE and CanESM2, while (26) does not. This difference could be attributed to the different

**Fig. 6. Seasonality of changes in extreme rainfall, extreme fire weather, and subannual compounding events using CESM1-LE.** (A, C, and E) Ensemble average change in the annual frequency of extreme rainfall events (R_{99.9}, left axis, solid blues) and extreme fire weather events (FWI_{99.9}, right axis, dashed reds) per 10^5 km^2 between 1980–2005 and 2006–2040 (light hues), 2041–2070 (medium hues), and 2071–2100 (dark hues) in (A) California, (C) Colorado, and (E) the PNW. A 15-day moving window is used to average values on a given day. (B, D, and F) Ensemble average change in the annual number of R_{99.9} events that occur 3 months (dark teal), 6 months (light teal), and 12 months (pale turquoise) after an FWI_{99.9} event for each month per 10^5 km^2 in (B) California, (D) Colorado, and (F) the PNW. The bars show the ensemble average of the change in 2006–2040, 2041–2070, and 2071–2100 from left to right, respectively, compared to 1980–2005. Note: the 6-month events are shown as a subset of the 12-month events, and the 3-month events are shown as a subset of the 6-month events. For reference, California is approximately 420,000 km^2.
datasets used [(26) used downscaled data from four general circulation models, each with a single realization] or to the variations in the definitions of the compound event used in each analysis. In addition, by expanding our study domain to encompass the whole western United States, we show that increases in post-fire hydrologic risks in the PNW and Colorado are similar to or more extreme than those in California. In addition, increases in subannual and multiannual compound events over the PNW at times occur at a faster pace than increases in FWI99.9 or R99.9 events, individually—suggesting that post-fire hydrologic hazards may increase at an especially rapid rate in a warming climate.

While our study does not investigate the specific dynamical mechanisms behind these changes, there is evidence that observed and projected increases in both extreme fire weather events and extreme rainfall events are largely the product of thermodynamic responses to warming and changes in atmospheric circulation patterns. Increases in temperature and vapor pressure deficit in observations and model simulations have been shown to drive substantial increases in extreme fire weather frequency (12, 20, 42), and increases in extreme rainfall events using global and regional climate model simulations have been strongly linked to increases in atmospheric water vapor, as well as mean circulation changes (24, 25, 37). Changes in the joint occurrence of extreme fire weather and extreme rainfall events on multiannual scales could also be driven by changes in the modes of natural variability [e.g., (25, 37, 43)]. While large ensemble simulations can be used to shed some light on local to regional mechanisms that modify the joint occurrence of these events, additional sensitivity testing is needed to confirm these processes. Ideally, high-resolution Earth system models with more sophisticated land models would be used to quantify any localized mechanisms in a more detailed and robust way.

Changes in the frequency and severity of wildfire, the size of burned areas, and fire effects on vegetation and hydrology are also important for estimating wildfire impacts and predicting post-fire debris flows and flash floods (2, 6). This study mainly focuses on wildfire-conducive meteorological conditions that are captured with a reasonable degree of accuracy by a coarse-resolution global climate model (CESM1), and therefore does not account for future changes in actual wildfire characteristics including fire frequency and size, fuel (vegetation) conditions, or rates of ignition. Nonetheless, our study shows that extreme fire weather conditions, which are strongly associated with wildfire size and severity [e.g., (11)], are projected to become more prevalent in nearly every portion of the study domain—creating conditions that greatly elevate the risk of wildfires and related post-fire hydrologic hazards.

We acknowledge that our definition of extreme rainfall events may not be suitable to directly assess the risk of debris flows. Relevant rainfall thresholds that could potentially cause these impacts are site and fire specific, leading to the lack of a consistent event-triggering threshold across regions (1, 27). Short–time scale (hourly to sub-hourly) extreme rainfall rates have the largest impact on the risk of debris flows or flash floods across recently burned areas, such as the 15-min 15-mm downpour recorded during the 2018 debris flows in Montecito (3). Short-duration rainfall extremes such as these are
not captured by the daily rainfall amounts used in the present study. This choice was made on the basis of data availability: While daily rainfall data are available for all models over the full analysis period, subdaily (6 hourly) rainfall data are only available for three short subperiods (1990–2005, 2025–2035, and 2070–2080) for CESM1-LE and may still be too temporally coarse for assessing debris flow or flash flood risks. However, the percentile-based extreme daily rainfall threshold used here nonetheless captures severe wet events that can cause substantial harm to communities (36), induce stress upon water and flood management infrastructure (44), and negatively affect the environment through nutrient transport and soil erosion (45, 46).

Collectively, our findings imply that the risks associated with wildfire-precipitation compound extreme events, including debris flows and flash floods, will likely increase substantially due to climate change across a broad portion of the western United States spanning a wide range of topographical and vegetation regimes. In general, this projected increase stems from an increase in both the frequency of extreme fire and extreme rainfall events—although there is greater uncertainty regarding the relative contribution of fire weather changes in topographically complex regions such as California in the coarse-resolution ensemble (CanESM2). Given the substantial adverse societal impacts of recent post-fire floods and debris flows in California, Colorado, and the PNW, our findings have direct relevance for both short-term emergency management and long-term hazard mitigation and climate adaptation across this region.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Experimental design**

We use MMLEA (28) to assess the changes in post-fire hydrologic risks during the historical period (1980–2005) and 21st century (2006–2100) projections, with a focus on the CESM1-LE simulations (29). These single-model initial-condition large ensembles allow us to estimate the anthropogenically forced response of changes in extreme but rare temporally compounding events in the presence of internal climate variability (28, 29). We first quantify the changes in the individual and joint seasonal and annual frequency of extreme fire weather and extreme rainfall events in the 21st century compared to the historic period. We then analyze changes in sequencing of these events to assess post-fire hydrologic risks in future climates.

**Large ensemble climate simulations**

We analyze five large ensemble experiments with the available data from MMLEA (28). All models used for these experiments are global with a coupled ocean-land-atmosphere configuration. At the time of analysis, CESM1 (29), CanESM2 (47), CSIRO-Mk3.6 (48), EC-Earth (49), and GFDL-CM3 (50) had daily resolution precipitation data available in their large ensemble experiments, and only CESM1 and CanESM2 included daily resolution variables for calculating FWI (precipitation, maximum temperature, surface wind speed, and relative humidity). The large ensemble experiments of CESM1-LE, CSIRO Mk3-6-0, EC-Earth, and GFDL-CM3 use microinitialization, while CanESM2 uses a combination of micro- and macroinitialization to capture internal variability (28). All large ensemble experiments use the same historical and RCP8.5 forcings and are available over the same analysis period used in the study (1980–2100). We focus our analysis and results on CESM1-LE, given its relatively higher spatial resolution (~1.3° × 0.9°), sufficient data availability for R99.9 and FWI99.9, and its relatively large size (40 members). Details of the large ensemble experiments, including the number of ensemble members, resolution, and data availability, are listed in table S1. MMLEA is made available by U.S. CLIVAR Working Group on Large Ensembles at [www.cesm.ucar.edu/projects/community-projects/MMLEA/](http://www.cesm.ucar.edu/projects/community-projects/MMLEA/).

**Extreme fire weather events**

When present, wildfire or burned area schemes in the MMLEA simulations differ strongly among the models and can have systemic biases compared to observations (51). For example, the CESM1 model, which does have a parameterized burned area scheme, both systematically overestimates the magnitude of burned area and underestimates the interannual variability of fire emissions in the historic period in the western United States (52, 53). In addition, changes in ignition are not usually accounted for in the fire schemes used in the MMLEA models. This makes the burned area product from any of the MMLEA models unsuitable to quantify the anthropogenic signal in fire activity in both historic and future years. Therefore, we use a modified version of the Canadian Forest FWI (11, 30, 32) to quantify the meteorological conditions that could lead to wildfire ignition and spread on a daily scale, and it allows us to overcome the differences among different land model wildfire schemes. FWI is calculated for CESM1-LE and CanESM2, which are the only two ensembles in MMLEA to have sufficient data for its calculation at the time of analysis. To calculate FWI, we use daily maximum temperature (tasmax), precipitation (pr), relative humidity (hurs), and average surface wind speed (sfcWind). hrs was not available for CESM1-LE; therefore, it was derived using the MetPy Python package using sea-level pressure (psl), lowest water vapor–mixing ratio (qbot), and temperature (tas) (54). Next, we calculate three fuel moisture codes that reflect the levels of moisture in shallow to deep layers in the soil column using tasmax, pr, and hrs. FWI is then calculated using the three moisture codes and sfcWind, and estimates the daily conditions relevant to wildfire occurrence and spread on the daily scale [see (30, 55) for FWI calculation details].

We then identify extreme fire weather days using the grid-level 99.9th percentile of FWI across all the ensemble members for each large ensemble in the historic period (1980–2005) as a threshold [similar to (11–13)]. Consecutive days that exceed this threshold are considered as one extreme fire weather event (FWI99.9), dated using the first consecutive day. When using a lower threshold, such as the 99th percentile, we found that the spatial and temporal patterns of changes in extreme fire weather frequency are similar to those when using the 99.9th percentile. However, the size of the changes in extreme fire weather frequency are systematically higher for the more extreme percentile, which is an intrinsic product of the underlying statistical distribution, given that rarer events will have larger relative changes (56).

We emphasize that we have used an “atmosphere-only” metric of wildfire risk in this study, i.e., we quantify wildfire risk from a weather perspective and do not explicitly simulate actual fires. Since the actual occurrence of fire is conditionally dependent on ignition, as well as background fuel (vegetation) availability and moisture levels (among other factors), we can only indirectly infer the likelihood that a fire would actually occur. However, prior work has demonstrated that FWI as defined in this study is strongly associated with actual area burned, especially when FWI values are extreme (11), for physical reasons that are likely to hold true even in a nonstationary climate (20). Studies have also shown that the ensemble mean of
For each large ensemble, we assess changes in the annual and seasonal distribution of FWI99.9 events followed by R99.9 events (Figs. 4 and 5). We calculate the 99.9th percentile over all the days in the recent historic period (1980–2005) for each grid point across all the ensemble members in each large ensemble to establish the threshold for extreme rainfall events (R99.9).

Defining sub- and multiannual temporally compounding events

For CESM1-LE and CanESM2, we define a temporally compounding event as an FWI99.9 event followed by at least one R99.9 event in the same grid point within a certain time frame (note: FWI99.9 events may be followed by multiple R99.9 events). “Subannual” temporally compounding events are those in which an FWI99.9 event is followed by an R99.9 event within 1 year and are associated with relatively high risk of debris flows and flash floods, significant nutrient transport, and/or triggering emergency resources. “Multiannual” compounding events are those in which an FWI99.9 event is followed by an R99.9 event within 2 to 5 years. These events, while likely impactful, are associated with relatively lower risk given the higher potential for the community, economy, and landscape to recover after the FWI99.9 event but before the subsequent R99.9 event (41).

Statistical analysis

For each large ensemble, we assess changes in the annual and seasonal individual and joint frequency of FWI99.9 and R99.9 events over the 21st century, as well as the changes in temporally compounding events in which an R99.9 follows an FWI99.9 event at the same location. We divide the 21st century into three periods: 2006–2040, 2041–2070, and 2071–2100, and compare them to the historic period (1980–2005). For the maps of changes in the individual frequencies of FWI99.9 and R99.9 events (Fig. 1 and figs. S1 and S3), we show the ensemble mean of changes between the historic and each future period over each grid point. Robust changes are identified when at least two-thirds of the members showing at least one temporally compounding event occurring during that period. In all maps, the changes in the ensemble distributions between the historic period and the three future periods are tested for significance using the Kolmogorov-Smirnov (KS) test.

We also perform regional analyses by area-averaging grid points in California, Colorado, and PNW and normalizing by each region’s area for each ensemble member (region outlines shown in Fig. 1). In some cases, each member’s regional average is shown (i.e., Fig. 2), and in others, the ensemble mean (or median) is shown along with 1 SD (or interquartile range) of the ensemble distribution (i.e., Figs. 3, 6, and 7 and figs. S2 and S4). In addition, we test for significance of changes in the regional distribution of all ensemble members using the KS test.

Supplementary material for this article is available at https://science.org/doi/10.1126/sciadv.abm0320
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